SINGLE BENCH

C.K. 100 L

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION N0.2755/05

PETITIONER:

Narendra kumar dubey, S/o Shri Durga prasad Dubey aged 44 Years Lower division Clerk (Chhattisgarh Resettlement Development Corporation) R/o Village Newra Tahsil Tekhatpur Distt. Bilaspur(C.G.)

P.H. No. 2755/05
Presented by Shri Sunil Sharma
dated 24/6/05

Versus

RESPONDENTS:

1. Chhattisgarh Adhosahrachna Vikas Nigam (Periwahan prabhag) Raipur (c.g.)Through- Competent Authority.

2. Industrial Training Institute GourelaP.o.Goure(pendra)Distt. Bilaspur (c.g.)Through Principal , I.T.I. Gourela-Pendra.

WRIT PETITON UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITIUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT ORDER AND DIRECTION.

(47)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION NO.2755 OF 2005

Petitioner	:	Narendra Kumar Dubey
	versus	
Respondents	:	Chhattisgarh Adhosanrachna Vikas Nigam (Periwahan Prabhag), Raipur and another

---

Present : Mr. Samir Singh, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondent No.2.
None appears for respondent No.1.

ORDER

(Passed on 6th Day of February, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, while working as Lower Division Clerk, by order dated 19-4-2004 (P-1), was relieved from the office of the Chief General Manager, Chhattisgarh Adhosanrachna Vikas Nigam (Periwahan Prabhag), Raipur to join the office of the Principal, Industrial Training Institute, Gourela within a period of eight days. According to the petitioner, the petitioner was not permitted to join the Industrial Training Institute, Gourela.

2. The said relieving order was passed in April, 2004. Thereafter, what has happened is not known from the pleadings. It is a

(48)

simple case of compliance of the order of the Chief General Manager, Chhattisgarh Adhosanrachna Vikas Nigam (Periwahan Prabhag), Raipur.

3. This Court, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, cannot exercise its power to interfere with such matters, where there is no allegation of infringement of any legal right. The petitioner does not have any legal right. The petition is frivolous and deserves to be dismissed.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed summarily. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Gopal